Jakarta EE Spec Committee Agenda May 27, 2020

Attendees (present in bold):
Kenji Kazumura - Fujitsu
Dan Bandera - IBM - **Kevin Sutter**
Bill Shannon - Oracle - **Ed Bratt**, **Dmitry Kornilov**
Mark Wareham - Payara - Matt Gill
Scott Stark - Red Hat - Mark Little
David Blevins - Tomitribe - Jean-Louis Monterio, Cesar Hernandez
Ivar Grimstad - PMC Representative
Marcelo Ancelmo - Participant Member - **Martijn Verburg**
Werner Keil - Committer Member
Scott (Congquan) Wang - Primeton - Enterprise Member

Eclipse Foundation: Wayne Beaton, **Tanja Obradovic**, **Paul Buck**

Past business / action items:
- The minutes from the April 29th meeting approved as drafted.
- The minutes from the May 13th meeting approved as drafted.

Agenda
- Review the process simplifications document being prepared by Dmitry and Kevin. Target is to review the completed draft in the May 13th Spec Committee meeting. [Dmitry, Kevin]
  - https://docs.google.com/document/d/1yp06hI4fULu5SVPIc96EK8llZrAb8WFmg79QQfzjwY0/edit
  - Proposal was reviewed and discussed and a question was asked can the PR itself be simplified?
  - The PR content all seems "required".

  Spec Committee is asked to review and provide input on the doc.

    05/27 - Decision made to focus on improving the PRs process and simplifying the PRs. Is there duplication we can avoid?
    - Ideas were discussed, no changes to be made at this time
      - No separate API docs is a candidate
- What are the requirements for TCK licenses for artifacts in Maven Central? https://jakarta.ee/committees/specification/tckprocess/
  Can the TCK be dual licensed at Maven Central - EFTL & Apache or EPL?
  Input from Wayne Beaton:
  - The requirement is that in order to be considered a valid Compatible Implementation, the vendor must run the EFTL version of the TCK.

- So... if the way that you run the TCK to validate a Compatible Implementation is to acquire it via Maven Central, then there must be a means of acquiring the TCK under the EFTL from Maven Central.
- Dual licensing (of the final ratified artifact only) would satisfy the requirement.

i) Question: Does Maven Central support dual licensing or do two copies need to be pushed to Maven Central. One with open source lic and the other with EFTL.

 05/28 Update from David: Got the all clear from Sonatype. If one of the licenses is open source, we're good to go.

ii) EF to confirm that the dual lic approach is acceptable.

 05/27 this is acceptable

- Creation ballot for Jakarta MVC specification project [Ivar]
Item discussed. Vote underway on the public Spec Committee list. Question was asked regarding when to do the PR to create the spec page?
https://projects.eclipse.org/proposals/jakarta-mvc

 05/27 Ivar to summarize the steps taken for MVC and determine if the Operation document is consistent with these steps. Also follow-up with Jakarta NoSQL

 06/08 Ivar proposed:

 https://deploy-preview-795--jakartaee.netlify.app/committees/specification/operations/#new_specifications

 05/27 When to do the Milestone review and/or Plan review? Paul to work with Wayne on a proposal and post to the list or come back to this meeting

- How to handle revisions to TCKs
  - When a TCK revision is needed/produced by an API project team, what process requirements are followed? What about older TCK releases?
    - I would propose
      - Some type of notification including a change overview
      - Existing TCK versions ought to remain valid and published
      - Perhaps some limitations
        - Ex. in JCP Challenged tests could only be excluded or new tests added optional/alternates
      - If needed, verification that ancillary change requirements (i.e. the tests are rolled up into Platform TCK) are also handled

 05/27 Requirement: Spec pages need to reflect all of the states and versions of a Specification including TCK. Communication and process for making a change to a TCK:
  1. Brief email to the spec public list to explain the change to a TCK.
  2. Give a week for comments
  3. A Spec Committee member signs the TCK notifies the committee of their action on the mailing list
  4. Spec page is updated with a PR

- Milestone Release Artifacts
 05/27 Discussed and decided on the mailing list: PR's will be used.

- Page design for listing multiple compatible implementations for individual specifications
  - See: https://jakarta.ee/specifications/restful-ws/2.1/
- Increase developers traffic from the jakarta.ee page to the specification pages by providing additional helpful information on the specification project pages
  - See: https://jakarta.ee/specifications/mvc/

    05/27 David to share ideas on the Jakarta community mailing list

- Steering Committee on April 7th, 2020 requested a future action for the Spec Committee to structure a discussion on how MP specs may be consumed for Jakarta EE
  - Noted here and to be tabled for a future meeting